Lancashire Local Access Forum

Minutes of the Meeting held on Tuesday, 17th January, 2017 at 10.00 am in Cabinet Room 'D' - The Henry Bolingbroke Room, County Hall, Preston

Present:

Chair

Cllr J R Toon, Independent

Committee Members

Arthur Baldwin
Ms Sue Harrison
Ralph Henderson
Sue Hogg
David Kelly
Steve Kirby
Paul McKeown
Mike Prescott
Dean Spencer

Officers

Linda Andersen, Public Rights of Way Michelle Holroyd, Planning Officer

1. Apologies for Absence

Apologies were received from County Councillor Albert Atkinson; James Daplyn, Blackburn with Darwen (Capita); David Goode, LCC; Andrew Hewitson, LCC

2. Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 July 2016

The minutes were agreed as a correct record.

3. Matters Arising

Flood Damage

Linda Andersen, Public Rights of Way, informed the Forum, that a lot of work was still being done in areas damaged by flooding.

Site Visit

The Forum was informed that officers would not support site visits due to funding problems. A site visit to the coastal footpath with an officer who was involved with the footpath was suggested, or the Forum might organise its own site visit. Complete walking of the coastal footpath could be undertaken by May or June.

Countryside Service

An update report was requested from Tim Blythe, Countryside Service Manager, for the next Lancashire Local Access Forum in April

The North West Local Access Forum

The NWLAF meeting set for 12 April 2016 had been cancelled due to lack of business.

Rights of Way Improvement Plan

The Forum was informed that the Rights of Way Improvement Plan (ROWIP) had not been signed off yet. The Forum stated that the ROWIP needed to be available in the public domain as soon as possible.

4. Coastal Access in Lancashire - Update

The Forum was informed that Julie Paton, Environmental Projects Officer, was in the process of meeting with landowners to discuss coastal path access.

Cumbria's involvement with the coastal access had been very significant. It would be useful to understand the thinking behind Cumbria's involvement. The LLAF had promised it would be involved in the emergence of the coastal access. The Forum was informed that CROW Act Closures did not apply to coastal footpaths.

Forum members requested a full presentation from Julie Paton on the coastal footpath and access at the next LLAF meeting in April.

5. National Trails Trust

Early in this financial year National Trails Partnerships became very concerned about the lack of annual funding offer from Natural England. Representatives from all trails met in early May 2016 to agree a way of lobbying Natural England to get some clarity on the situation. This resulted in a meeting with senior officers from Natural England in June where representatives were informed of a 50% cut in funding.

Natural England currently provided 75% of the agreed costs of maintenance of National Trails based on an agreed funding formula. Each Partnership had to demonstrate a minimum of 25% match funding which predominantly came from Highway Authority partners.

To better fight this proposed cut some intensive lobbying was agreed which eventually resulted in the restoration of the full budget for 2016/17.

It was also agreed that a better way of lobbying government and raising the profile of National Trails was required in order to make the case for the continued funding of core maintenance e.g. A National Trails Association.

There were no current plans for a Trust although the Trail Partnerships were looking at a range of options to better represent National Trails at Government level and developing evidence of the value of National Trails to support the case.

The National Trails were concerned about funding as an offer had not been received for 2017/18 and had an indication they should work on the basis of a 5% cut but had no written confirmation of this or any indication about future years. In the past in line with the new deal with Natural England there had been a 3 year indicative budget.

Whilst Natural England had assured the National Trails Partnerships that they recognised the need to provide some continued support for Trails while alternative forms of funding were explored they were not able to set out what the pattern or level of funding would be in future years.

Natural England's overall position was that they wished to worked constructively and cooperatively with the Trail Partnerships to develop a new funding model for National Trails which made them less reliant on the public purse in future years.

There were 15 National Trails altogether and if funding went the Trails would deteriorate rapidly. The key thing about National Trails was their maintenance, especially signage.

LCC currently benefited from funding to support the Pennine Bridleway National Trail Ranger and an annual programme of maintenance projects.

6. Update on Jacobs Lancashire Cycling and Walking Strategy 2016-2026

Lancashire County Council was working alongside local and national transport and public health partners and had embarked on the preparation of a pan-Lancashire Cycling and Walking Strategy to deliver the transformation in cycling and walking set out in its Highways and Transport Masterplans.

Jacobs was LCC's framework consultant and had prepared its advice for a pan-Lancashire cycling and walking strategy. The draft strategy proposed a 10 year timeframe and presented a vision and targets alongside a series of high level activities, developed through a combination of emerging national priorities and a robust evidence base around trends, needs, inequalities, barriers and opportunities for cycling and walking in Lancashire.

The vision was to see more people cycling and walking in Lancashire. The targets represented an ambitious statement of intent for long term change up to 2026: a doubling of numbers of people cycling; a 10% increase in numbers of people walking; and to raise levels of physical activity in all districts to at least the national average.

Jacobs had taken account of national guidance in the Department for Transport's Cycling and Walking Investment Strategy (March 2016). The commission with Jacobs was completed on receipt of the draft strategy document. The Central Lancashire Strategy had been delivered first.

The draft strategy was currently on the LCC 'Have Your Say' consultation webpage in support of a revenue funding application to the Department of Transport's Access Fund. A formal consultation exercise for the draft strategy was being prepared by the Lancashire Cycling and Walking Steering Group and Lancashire Local access Forum would be notified of the consultation launch. Initial feedback on the draft strategy document was welcomed and could be provided through the LCC 'Have Your Say' consultation website.

Getting children to walk to schools was highlighted in the strategy. Forum members enquired where the strategy tied in with the ROWIP and felt the ROWIP should encompass cycling, walking, riding and transport in its strategies. It was felt that the routes should be multi-user routes and should include horse riders.

The Forum requested that the LLAF be on the list for the next strategy consultation. The strategy was primarily about access and funding. There was also a big public health stance within the strategy. The Forum pointed out that there needed to be more detailed plans at borough level. The strategy would hopefully be signed off by the end of the year.

7. Consultation on West Pennine Moors gaining Sites of Special Scientific Interest Status

The Forum was informed that Natural England had suggested that West Pennine Moors should gain a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) status, recognising the national importance of the areas upland habitats and breeding birds. There was a four month consultation period to decide. The consultation was to enable people to send their views before Natural England decided whether to confirm or withdraw this notification. The LLAF welcomed this suggestion and supported it.

8. Letter From Upland Chain Local Nature Programme

The LLAF had received a letter from Northern Upland Chain Local Nature Partnership (LNP) to ask whether the Forum had any particular projects, activities or initiatives to which the LNP could usefully contribute.

The primary purpose of the LNP was to bring together local organisations, businesses and people who wanted to improve their local natural environment.

The Partnerships adopted objectives included:

• Promoting public understanding and enjoyment of the nature and culture of the areas and encouraging people to take action for their conservation; and

• Value, sustain and promote the benefits that the Northern Upland Chain provided for society, including clean air and water, food, carbon storage and other services vital to the nation's health and well-being.

Next spring, the LNP would be determining what its priorities should be for the next few years. The purpose of the letter was therefore to ask whether the LLAF had any proposals for access and recreation that could:

- a) Make a significant direct contribution to achieving the two objectives above; or
- b) Make a significant contribution to achieving other nature conservation benefits

The LLAF suggested the following three projects:

- 1. Seek to achieve a sustainable population of hen harriers (this bird was the symbol of the Bowland AONB).
- 2. Renewal / replacement of signage and furniture associated with open access land.
- 3. Work to conserve and renew upland peat areas.

9. Auditing The List Of Streets: A Role For Local Access Forums

It was decided to role this item forward to the April meeting of the Lancashire Local Access Forum and request an update report from David Goode, Public Rights of Way Manager.

10. Joint LAF Meeting - 1 November 2016

The Joint Local Access Forum meeting had taken place on Tuesday 1st November 2016 in Kirkby Lonsdale, Cumbria.

The day included a site visit in the morning to Devil's Bridge and the River Lune. In the afternoon there was a discussion on access and recreation issues in the Yorkshire Dales National Park extension area.

It was felt to have been a very useful meeting and Forum members who attended could relate to other people's issues.

11. Any Other Business

Regarding the Lancashire Combined Authority, which had been discussed about over the last few months, the Forum requested information on where it was at and how it would be structured.

The Chair of the LLAF, Richard Toon, informed the Forum that he was having a meeting soon with the Democratic Services Manager, Josh Mynott, on the future progression of the LLAF.

The Forum was informed that a Garden Village would be created in Lancashire. Bailrigg, the campus of Lancaster University, was set to become a Garden Village, providing up to 3,500 homes. Concerns were expressed about how much access to neighbouring countryside there would be. A report was requested for the April meeting of the LLAF.

12. Date of Next Meeting

It was noted that the next meeting of the Forum would be held on Tuesday 11 April 2017 at 10:00am in Ground Floor Conference Room One, Blackburn Town Hall, Blackburn.

I Young Director of Governance, Finance and Public Services

County Hall Preston